UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,045	02/25/2004	John Hatlestad	279.B27US1	4409
	7590 08/28/200 N, LUNDBERG & WC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2938	, in the second	RANGREJ, SHEETAL		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/787,045	HATLESTAD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SHEETAL R. RANGREJ	3626		

	SHEETAL R. RANGREJ	3626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee the action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the maining date	e or the infarrejection, e	veri ii timety ilieu,
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further core	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	mpliant Amondment (DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant Amendment (- 1 OL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 16-28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	κplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/C Luke Gilligan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626	/Sheetal R. Rangrej/ Examiner, Art Unit 3626		

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendments to the claims presented in the reply filed August 11, 2008 specifying claims 1 and 9 require further consideration of the prior art and/or further search. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Ellinwood, Jr. does not teach "an implantable device configured to electrically monitor fuild retention." Applicant further states that monitoring fulid retention is not a chemical property as described in Ellinwood, Jr. as being monitored. Examiner disagrees and provides support with the combination of Yarin and Ellinwood, Jr. In addition, Applicant substantially rehashes the remarks/arguments presented in the previous response. Since the amendment After Final has not been entered, the remarks/arguments with respect to these changes are currently moot. In response to the remaining remarks/arguments, the Examiner incorporates the response to the remarks/arguments presented in the previous Office Action, mailed June 11, 2008.

2